

Toxicological Properties of Oil-Based Drilling Fluids

Elanor Ball

Health and Safety Executive

Bootle

What are drilling fluids?

- Complex formulations that have specific properties for particular drilling applications.
- Drilling fluids need to carry out the following functions:
 - Support and lubricate the drill head and chain during drilling,
 - Remove cuttings from the drill head,
 - Support the borehole to prevent fracturing and,
 - Prevent exchange of fluids between the rock formation and borehole during drilling, and
- The composition is matched to the rock formations being drilled.
- Drilling fluids are therefore complex mixtures of variable composition.

Issues for hazard assessment of complex mixtures

- Ideally hazard assessment will be informed by data addressing the hazardous properties of mixtures as they are encountered during use.
- The form in which a mixture is encountered will not necessarily be the same as the form of the bulk mixture.
 - Which fractions are workers exposed to?
 - What is the chemical composition of these fractions?
 - Depends on the process and its operating conditions.

Which fractions are relevant?

- Need to consider:
 - Additive dusts
 - Vapours/mists
 - Aerosols
 - Splashes
- Vapours and aerosols are the most relevant fractions for setting airborne standards for oil-based drilling fluids.
- Unlikely to have health effects data for representative mixtures for these fractions so need to predict toxicological properties from components.

Composition of a typical OBDF

Component	Quantity	Mass (kg)	Volume (l)	% Mass	% Volume
Base fluid	0.52 bbl	63.64	83.31	30.37	52.40
Viscosifier	5 ppb	2.26	1.40	1.08	0.88
Emulsifier 1	0.8 gpb	2.89	3.02	1.38	1.90
Emulsifier 2	0.4 gpb	1.49	1.51	0.71	0.95
Lime	5 ppb	2.26	1.00	1.08	0.63
Water	0.3 ppb	47.15	47.22	22.50	29.70
CaCl ₂	30.2 ppb	13.70	3.35	6.54	2.11
Barite	167.9 ppb	76.15	18.16	36.34	11.42

Stability of composition in use

- The composition of the bulk fluid may change during use due to:
 - Particulate contamination from cuttings
 - Inward seepage of formation fluids and gases
 - Possible chemical reactions down hole
 - Adjustments to maintain technical performance
- HSL found little change in the relative proportion of hydrocarbons in 6 out of 8 fluids tested.
- One fluid had become contaminated with C5 to C8 paraffins, C6-C7 naphthenes, benzene and toluene.
- One fluid had been mixed with a synthetic based fluid.
- The 'EPA 16 PAHs' were generally below the limits of detection as was N-nitrosodiethanolamine.

Vapour phase

- Expect hydrocarbons originating from the base oil to be major components.
- Expect solvents from additive formulations and any volatile/gaseous contaminants from the bore hole to be minor components.
- Volatility as well as concentration in the bulk drilling fluid will determine the concentration of a component in the vapour fraction.
- Key health concerns likely to be CNS depression and irritation but the data are inadequate to identify dose-response relationships.
- Limited data on longer-term effects.

Aerosol phase

- The composition is expected to be similar to the bulk fluid.
- Main components expected to be base oil, aqueous brine and weighting agent.
- Minor components include:
 - Alkaline chemicals
 - Mineral particulates and organophilic clays (including crystalline silica as an impurity)
 - Emulsifier and surfactant formulations.
- No studies looking at the effects of inhaling drilling fluid formulations have been published.
- Difficult to make predictions given the large number of possible additives.

Skin contact

- Anecdotal information and case reports have been published that identify drilling fluid as a cause of dermatitis.
- Patients affected include:
 - Deep sea diver
 - Roughnecks
 - Drillers
 - Laboratory supervisor
 - Mud engineer
 - Service engineer
- Agents responsible included:
 - Discarded drilling fluid on the sea bed
 - Base oil hydrocarbons
 - Polyamines used as emulsifiers

What are the implications for setting exposure standards?

- Drilling fluids are complex mixtures of variable composition.
- Exposure standards must encompass all relevant fractions and all variations in composition.
- At present the data are insufficient to derive dose-response relationships for health effects of the vapour fraction and to relate these effects to markers of exposure.
- Longer term health effects are unclear.
- No scientific basis on which to set a health based limit.
- Exposure standards should therefore reflect levels that can be achieved using good practice.